

Montana High School Association 1 South Dakota Avenue Helena, MT 59601

LINCOLI	V DC	DUGL	AS	DEBATE	
Round Room Number: Date:		Judge's Name:			
Affirmative Name/Code:			Negative Name/C		
Points	27-28 24-26	Outstandi Excellent Good Average Below Ave		Points	
highest goals to be considered or achieved within the co- instead of what is. 2. Each debater has the burden to prove his or her side of to complete validity or invalidity of the resolution. The bet general principle. 3. Students are encouraged to research topic-specific literal of a student's independent analysis and/or authoritative of 4. Communication in LD debate should emphasize clarity. was clear and understandable to him/her as a judge. The and style of delivery. 5. After a case is presented, neither debater should be rew must be clash concerning the major arguments in the det 6. The judge shall disregard new arguments introduced in advanced or the refutation of arguments introduced by or	ture and all popinion. Accordingly hroughout varded for bate. Cros n rebuttal. poponents. e resolutio e debaters	the resolution more valuer is the one pplicable working a judge so the debate, presenting ass-examination This does on to advoca made and no comments and constitution in the presenting asset in the presenting and advoca made and no constitution more possible to the present the pres	n in questic id as a gen who, on the rks of philo hould only the compe a speech co on should on not include site, judges of on perso	e the introduction of new evidence in support of points as must be objective evaluators of both sides of the resonal opinions or on arguments you would have made. Criticism) designed to help both the debater ar	o prove lid as a e ethos ner that meanor it; there already olution.
Reasons for Decision (Provide a detailed just presented in the round):	Aff Summ Prep Time	ss-Exam structive Exam ttal uttal/Summa nary e 2 min.	3 min (debater		ers
Based on my evaluation, the debate was wo	-			(code) on the (s	