

**USAG Oregon Women's Program  
State Administrative Committee Meeting  
June 6, 2010 Salem, OR**

- Roll Call
- § Members Present: Kristin Wemm, Ann Heppner, Lynda Carlson, Maureen Blair, Kirsten Gackowski, Heidi Fogarty, Phil Sappington, Sara Halverson, Khumbalani Moyo, Jacque Wagner and Julia Eagen
  
- Annual Checklist
- § Competitive Structure
- § Event Specialists: Athletes can now move levels as an event specialist
- § Mobility score of 8.5 on event (Level 7,8,9)
- § Qualifying for state is 8.0 on event
- § Qualifying to regionals etc. is 8.5 on event
- § Championships Meets
- § The board discussed whether the championship meet qualifying process is too complicated and how it could be simplified
- § Motion to include Level 4 at the fall state meet made by Julia Eagen
- § Jacque Wagner seconded the motion
- § Motion passed: unanimous
- § The board discussed plans for maintaining the Level 4 All-Star meet but at a different time/venue but did not finalize any details
- § The board discussed combining Level 4,5,6 sectionals in the fall
- § Phil Sappington made the motion to combine Level 4,5,6 sectionals on the Level 5,6 sectionals weekend in the fall
- § Khumbalani Moyo seconded the motion
- § Motion passed: unanimous
- § Level 1-3 state competition – The board decided to continue our current practice of not having championship meets for Levels 1-3
- § Qualification Scores
- § The board discussed and decided against changing the qualification score for optional state. The qualification score will remain a 32.00
- § The board also decided against changing event specialists qualifying scores (8.00)
- § Fall sectionals qualifying scores for all levels will remain the same (31.00)
- § Qualifying from sectionals to state (Level 5,6) will remain the top 72 athletes
- § Heidi Fogarty made a motion for Level 4 to be same as Level 5/6 (top 72)
- § Sarah Halverson seconded the motion
- § Motion passed: unanimous
- § The board discussed whether the qualifying score for spring state should be changed
- § Julia Eagen made a motion that the qualifying score for spring state be 32.00
- § Phil Sappington seconded the motion
- § Motion passed: unanimous
- § Age Divisions – The board decided to make no changes to any current age divisions at any level
- § Open scoring
- § All 4 scores were flashed at state AFTER scores were in to head judge at Optional state
- § The board decided to make no changes to this system at this time
- § Team Score – top 3 scores all levels, award top 5 teams for 4,5,6,7,8; top 3 at 9,10
- § The board decided to make no changes to the current method of calculating team scores
- § Judging Panels
- § The board decided to make no changes to the required 2 judge panels at L4/5/6 or local meets and invitationals
- § The board recommends that when 1 judge panels are planned that information be included in pre-meet information sent out to gyms etc.
- § For spring season only level 4 can have 1 judge panels for qualifying meets
- § Because of direct qualifying for spring state, Level 6 must always have 2 judge panels
- § The board will continue to allow for one-off exceptions for 1 judge panel at Level 4

- § Calendar 2010-2011
- § Regional Congress: NO qualification meets to be held that weekend (September 17-19, 2010)
- § Last qualifying weekend: November 6, 2010 for ALL levels (High tech camp-Seattle)
- § Training Camp: November 13-14, 2010 (one in Seattle, one in Portland)
- § Fall Compulsory Sectionals: November 20-21, 2010
- § Fall Compulsory State: December 4-5, 2010
- § Drop back date: February 16, 2011 (that's a Wednesday!)
- § Optional State: March 25-27, 2011 or April 1-3, 2011 – final decision pending!
- § Optional Regionals: April 15-17, 2011; Pullman, WA (hosted by Palouse Empire Gymnastics)
- § Westerns: May 5-8, 2011; San Diego, CA
- § Nationals: May 12-15, 2011; Long Beach, CA
- § Spring Compulsory State: April 30-May 1, 2011
- § State Meeting tentatively scheduled for June 24-26, 2011 (board meeting & meet scheduling together)
- § Judges Selection
- § The board reviewed the selection criteria
- § Current criteria for Compulsory judges: 10% affiliation, 30% number of meets judged last year, 30% current rating and 30% board vote
- § The board made no changes to the current criteria
- § Current criteria for Optional judges: 25% board vote; 25% education hours; 25% experience; 25% highest level competition judged the previous year
- § The board discussed further defining these criteria
- § Experience has been further defined as including years judging Level 10 and above, highest level of meet judged in the previous year (championship meets etc.), and current rating
- § Each category within experience will be given equal weight
- § Years of experience (<5=1; 5-10=3; 10+=5) – OPTIONAL level judging time
- § Highest meet (1=State; 3=Regionals; 5=Nationals)
- § Rating (0=9; 1=10; 2=returning 10 3=National - new; 4=returning National; 5=Breve)
- § Education will be further defined as 1 point per regional training; 2 points for national training; max 5 points (USAG & NAWGJ); every 2 years
- § Oregon currently has 4 representatives at Regionals (+ Linda Mulvihill meet ref), 2 representatives at Nationals and 1 representative at Westerns
- § These allotments can change based on the Region's needs
- § Recommendations for judges for State and Regionals will be done at meet scheduling meeting or via email (once Kristin sends out info to board)
  
- § Prep Optional/Open Optional Program
- § The board is looking for a volunteer to take this program on in an effort to increase participation statewide
- § If you know of anyone who may be interested in taking on this task, please contact Kristin
- § Washington has a great program in place and Oregon will use their guidelines for our program
- § Prep Op gives athletes the opportunity to continue in gymnastics without the obligation of team hours and financial commitments
- § USAG is recommending all states have an active Prep Op program
- § Financial
- § The board reviewed our competition fee/head tax policy in regards to dwindling state funds and increasing costs
- § The state has more athletes, however these athletes are attending fewer competitions
- § Required expenditures for athlete support such as travel have increased and in order to remain at our current level of activity, more funds are needed (for example – costs for supports our senior athletes, the Level 8 State team etc. have tripled in recent years)
- § Due to fewer fees being collected, additional or alternate fundraising methods were discussed
- § Julia Eagen made a motion to increase the head tax to \$2 per athlete
- § Ann Heppner seconded the motion
- § Motion passed: 6 for, 2 against
- § The board discussed current championship meet fees and no changes will be made